

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/659,928

Applicant(s)

MAKIUCHI ET AL.

Examiner

Maureen M. Wallenhorst

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Sylvia Tan (Appl. Rep.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to rewrite claim 2 in order to provide further clarification and place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)